Geology, lithogeochemistry, and significance of porphyry intrusions associated with gold mineralization within the Timmins–Porcupine gold camp, Canada
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Abstract: The Timmins–Porcupine gold camp, Abitibi greenstone belt, is host >60 Moz of Au with many gold deposits spatially associated with porphyry intrusions and the Porcupine–Destor deformation zone (PDDZ). Porphyry intrusions form three suites. The Timmins porphyry suite (TIS) consists of high-Al tonalite–trondhjemite–granodiorite (TTG) with calc–alkalic affinities and high La/Yb ratios and formed during ~2690 Ma D1-related crustal thickening and hydrous partial melting of mafic crust where garnet and hornblende were stable in the residue. The Carr Township porphyry intrusive suite (CIS) and the granodiorite intrusive suite (GIS) also have high-Al TTG, calc-alkalic affinities, but were generated 10–15 million years after the TIS; the CIS were generated at shallower depths (during postorogenic extension?) with no garnet in the crustal residue, whereas the GIS formed during D2 thrust-related crustal thickening and partial melting where garnet was stable in the residue. Gold mineralization is preferentially associated with the TIS, and to a lesser extent the GIS, proximal to the PDDZ. Intrusions near mineralization have abundant sericite, carbonate, and sulphide alteration. These intrusions exhibit low Na₂O and Sr, and high Al₂O₃/Na₂O, K₂O, K₂O/Na₂O, Rb, and Cs, (i.e., potassic alteration); sulphide- and carbonate-altered porphyries have high (CaO + MgO + Fe₂O₃)/Al₂O₃ and LOI values. Although porphyries are not genetically related to gold mineralization, they are spatially related and are interpreted to reflect the emplacement of intrusions and subsequent Au-bearing fluids along the same crustal structures. The intrusive rocks also serve as structural traps, where gold mineralization precipitated in dilatant structures along the margins of intrusions during regional (D3?) deformation.
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Introduction

The Timmins–Porcupine gold camp represents one of the world’s most prolific gold mining camps containing >60 Moz of Au (past production and current reserves) since its discovery in 1909 (Card and Poulsen 1998; Brisbin 1997; Robert and Poulsen 1997). Gold mineralization in the camp has a strong spatial association with felsic intrusive rocks, often called “porphyries” (herein termed the Porcupine intrusive suites; Fig. 1). Although most of the gold produced from the Timmins–Porcupine camp has been within 1 km of these intrusions (Fig. 2), the exact relationship of porphyries to gold mineralization remains unknown and debated (e.g., Gray and Hutchinson 2001).

The spatial association between gold mineralization and porphyry bodies has been recognized since the early days of mining...
Some workers advocated a porphyry copper model in which Au enrichment was due to magmatic–hydrothermal fluids derived from the porphyries (Mason 1986a, 1986b; Mason and Melnik 1986; Davies and Luhta 1978). Other workers illustrated that field relationships are incompatible with a porphyry model as gold mineralization was late syntectonic, whereas the porphyry bodies were pre- to early syntectonic (Burrows and Spooner 1986; Wood et al. 1986). Wood et al. (1986), Burrows and Spooner (1986) and Burrows et al. (1993) argued that the porphyries were structural traps that allowed gold to be deposited along the margins of the porphyries during regional deformation. Coincident U–Pb zircon geochronology on the porphyries suggested that porphyries predated gold mineralization (Corfu et al. 1989; Marmont and Corfu 1989). More recently, Gray and Hutchinson (2001) argued there were two separate gold mineralization events in the Timmins–Porcupine camp: an early porphyry-related Cu–Au event (i.e., magmatic–hydrothermal) followed by a younger, structural event (i.e., orogenic). Therefore, gold to be deposited along the margins of the porphyries during regional deformation was pre- to early syntectonic (Burrows and Spooner 1986; Wood et al. 1986). Wood et al. (1986), Burrows and Spooner (1986) and Burrows et al. (1993) argued that the porphyries were structural traps that allowed gold to be deposited along the margins of the porphyries during regional deformation. Coincident U–Pb zircon geochronology on the porphyries suggested that porphyries predated gold mineralization (Corfu et al. 1989; Marmont and Corfu 1989). More recently, Gray and Hutchinson (2001) argued there were two separate gold mineralization events in the Timmins–Porcupine camp: an early porphyry-related Cu–Au event (i.e., magmatic–hydrothermal) followed by a younger, structural event (i.e., orogenic) that was responsible for the bulk of the gold mineralization in the district.

In addition, the relationship between intrusive and extrusive magmatism, namely the relationship between the sulfide-bearing Krist Formation (KF) pyroclastic rocks and the Timmins porphyry intrusive suite, is uncertain within the Timmins–Porcupine gold camp. Early work suggested there were temporal differences between the Porcupine intrusive suites (~2690 Ma) and KF (~2698 Ma). Zircon geochronology (U–Pb) has shown that tuffaceous rocks of the KF contain zircons ranging from 2690 Ma to 2687 Ma, suggesting that it may be an extrusive equivalent of some of the porphyry intrusions (Ayer et al. 2003b). However, there have been no lithogeochemical and petrological studies to test this hypothesis.

In light of the above and despite the long-known spatial association of porphyry intrusions to gold mineralization in the Timmins–Porcupine camp, there has not been a modern, regional-scale study of the geology, geochemistry, and genesis of intrusions associated with mineralization in the camp. This paper, coupled with previously reported government research as part of the Discover Abitibi Initiative (Ayer et al. 2005), was undertaken to document the field relationships, petrology, and geochronology of the Porcupine intrusive suites within the Timmins–Porcupine gold camp along the Porcupine–Destor deformation zone (PDDZ). Preliminary documentation has been presented by MacDonald and Piercey (2003), MacDonald et al. (2004), and MacDonald et al. (2005). Herein, the field relationships, petrography, and geochronological data from the above preliminary work are highlighted and summarized, whereas this manuscript focuses primarily on the lithogeochemistry of the calc-alkaline intrusive suites. The goals of this paper are: (i) to constrain the broader petrogenetic history of the various intrusive suites and their geodynamic setting, (ii) to understand their relationship(s) to coeval felsic volcanism, and (iii) to decipher the lithogeochemical differences between gold-associated and gold-barren intrusive rocks within the Timmins–Porcupine camp.
Regional geological setting

The oldest rocks in the Timmins–Porcupine gold camp are the calc-alkaline volcanic rocks of the Deloro Assemblage (2734–2724 Ma), which occur south of the PDDZ (Figs. 1 and 3) (Pyke 1982; Brisbin 1997; Bateman et al. 2008; Ayer et al. 2002, 2003a, 2005; Thurston et al. 2008; Dubé et al. 2017). The volcanic flows of the Deloro Assemblage range from komatiitic at the base of the assemblage (Hersey Lake Formation) to Mg- and Fe-tholeiitic (Central, Vipond and Gold Centre formations) in the middle to upper units of the assemblage (Fig. 3) (Pyke 1982; Brisbin 1997, 2000; Bateman et al. 2008; Ayer et al. 2002, 2003a, 2005; Dubé et al. 2017).

The Tisdale Assemblage volcanic rocks were intruded by numerous 2691–2687 Ma (Ayer et al. 2003a, 2005; Corfu et al. 1989), intermediate to felsic porphyritic intrusions (the Porcupine intrusive suite; Figs. 1 and 3) (MacDonald et al. 2005). Felsic metavolcanic rocks of the KF (2690–2687 Ma) form the base of the Porcupine Assemblage and unconformably overlie the Tisdale Assemblage and are coeval with the porphyritic intrusions (Figs. 1 and 3) (Pyke 1982; Brisbin 1997, 2000; Ayer et al. 2002, 2003a, 2005b, 2008; Thurston et al. 2008).

Postdating the intermediate to felsic porphyry intrusions and KF are clastic sedimentary rocks of the middle and upper sections of the Porcupine Assemblage, which were deposited 2690–2685 Ma.

Fig. 2. Simplified surface geology map of the Timmins–Porcupine gold camp showing the location of some of the Porcupine intrusive suites (specifically Timmins porphyry suite - main camp) in relation to some of the more historically significant producing gold mines, the Krist Formation and Tisdale Assemblage formations (modified from Ferguson 1968; Brisbin 1997; Ayer et al. 1999a, 1999b; Hall et al. 2003). [Colour online.]
The Porcupine Assemblage overlies the KF within fold and (or) fault controlled basins, such as the Porcupine and Kayorumsynclines (Fig. 2) (Pyke 1982; Brisbin 1997, 2000; Ayer et al. 2002, 2003a, 2005). Coarse-grained, clastic rocks of the Timiskaming Assemblage postdate the Porcupine Assemblage and were deposited from 2679 to 2669 Ma (Brisbin 1997, 2000; Ayer et al. 2002, 2003a, 2005; Monecke et al. 2017).

The majority of gold in the Timmins–Porcupine camp cross-cut and postdate albite dikes that were locally emplaced at 2673 ±6/–2 and 2672.8 ± 1.1 Ma (Corfu et al. 1989; Ayer et al. 2005). This is supported by recent Re-Os ages molybdenite from the Dome mine (2670 ± 10 Ma; Ayer et al. 2003b) and the McIntyre mine (2672 ± 7 Ma; Bateman et al. 2004). The McIntyre mine Re-Os date, however, is within error of the emplacement age of albite dikes, suggesting some Cu–Au mineralization are temporally, and may be genetically, related to hydrothermal activity generated by albite dike emplacement (Melnik-Proud 1992; Brisbin 1997, 2000).

**Geological attributes of the Porcupine intrusive suites and KF rocks**

**Geological attributes of Porcupine intrusive suites**

The intrusions of the Timmins–Porcupine gold camp all display near identical characteristics but are grouped into three suites based on geographic location, geology, and lithogeochemistry (MacDonald et al. 2005). The suites include the: Timmins porphyry intrusive suite (TIS), Carr Township porphyry intrusive suite (CIS), and granodiorite intrusive suite (GIS) (Fig. 1). The TIS is further subdivided into TIS-main camp and TIS-other groups by the geographical location of the individual intrusions (Fig. 1). The TIS-main camp are within the immediate vicinity of the Timmins town site, whereas TIS-other include distal porphyry intrusions, south of the PDDZ, west of the Mattagami River Fault, excluding the Bristol Township alkaline complex that occurs in and around the Timmins West mine complex, and east of the Burrows–Benedict Fault, (Fig. 1). The individual intrusions that make up each of the suites are presented on Fig. 1 and Table 1, and their geographical and
Table 1. Table of field relationships, petrology, alteration, veining, mineralization, deformation, and geochronology for the five Porcupine intrusive suites.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Subcategory</th>
<th>TIS-main camp</th>
<th>TIS-other</th>
<th>CIS</th>
<th>GIS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Field Relationships</td>
<td>Trend</td>
<td>East–northeast and west–southwest</td>
<td>Generally east–west</td>
<td>East–west</td>
<td>East–northeast and west–southwest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Size of trend?</td>
<td>Two trends: 8 × 2 km and 4 × 1.5 km</td>
<td>Three groups total 50+ km along PDDZ</td>
<td>Over 15 × 5 km</td>
<td>Two trends: 2 × 0.5 km 5 × 2.5 km</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Join at depth?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes and ??</td>
<td>Composite?</td>
<td>??</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Plunge direction?</td>
<td>East</td>
<td>West and ??</td>
<td>??</td>
<td>??</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stratigraphic level</td>
<td>1. Northern margin of the PDDZ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. Hersey Lake–Central Formation contact</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stratigraphy</td>
<td>conformance</td>
<td>Generally semi-conformable</td>
<td>Semi-conformable</td>
<td>Semi-conformable?</td>
<td>Semi-conformable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other favourable</td>
<td>zones for intrusion?</td>
<td>1. Pillowed mafic flows of the Tisdale Assemblage</td>
<td>1. Assemblage contacts</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>1. Fault zones (Dome fault extension and PDDZ)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. “Carb rock” alteration zones</td>
<td>2. Fault zones (PDDZ and related splays)</td>
<td>2. Large dikes and sills (up to 5 × 0.5 km)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>2. Fold margins (Shaw Dome?)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3. Fault zones (e.g., Dome fault)</td>
<td>3. Fold margins (Shaw Dome)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4. Fold hinges (e.g., Northern anticline)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sizes and shapes</td>
<td>1. Small dikes, sills, and plugs (up to 500 × 300 m)</td>
<td>1. Small dikes, sills, and plugs (up to 1 km × 200 m)</td>
<td>1. One large composite plug (over 12 × 3 km)</td>
<td>1. Small dikes and sills (up to 1 km × 1000 m)</td>
<td>2. Plug (~500 × 200 m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. Dikes and sills (up to 2 × 0.5 km)</td>
<td>2. Large dikes and sills (up to 5 × 0.5 km)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3. Large oval (~2 × 0.6 km)</td>
<td>3. Large thin dikes and sills (up to 5 km × 50 m)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>3. Large elongate plug (~5 × 2 km)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>—</td>
<td>4. large oval (6 × 3 km)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Margins</td>
<td>Generally straight and sharp with small apophyses</td>
<td>Generally straight and sharp with small apophyses</td>
<td>Generally straight and sharp (primary or tectonic)</td>
<td>Generally straight and sharp (primary or tectonic)</td>
<td>Generally straight and sharp (primary or tectonic)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lesser marked by breccias (Edwards, Paymaster, and Crown)</td>
<td>Lesser marked by breccias (Bristol Township and Mt. Logano porphyries)</td>
<td>Lesser marked by breccia</td>
<td>Lesser marked by breccia</td>
<td>Lesser marked by breccia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Minor gradational contacts (Aquarius porphyry)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petrology</td>
<td>Texture</td>
<td>Aphanitic and porphyritic</td>
<td>Porphyritic</td>
<td>Porphyritic</td>
<td>Porphyritic and equigranular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Phenocrysts</td>
<td>Sub- to euhedral plagioclase and quartz up to 8 mm</td>
<td>Sub- to euhedral plagioclase and quartz up to 6 mm</td>
<td>Sub- to euhedral plagioclase and quartz up to 15 mm</td>
<td>Sub- to euhedral plagioclase and quartz up to 6 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Matrix</td>
<td>Aphanitic to very fine grained</td>
<td>Very fine grained</td>
<td>Fine grained</td>
<td>Fine grained</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Minor Minerals</td>
<td>Apatite and tourmaline</td>
<td>Apatite and tourmaline</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Biotite, muscovite, and apatite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sulphides</td>
<td>Pyrite, chalcopyrite, pyrrhotite, molybdenite, and bornite</td>
<td>Pyrite, chalcopyrite, pyrrhotite, molybdenite</td>
<td>Pyrite and chalcopyrite</td>
<td>Pyrite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Foreign clasts</td>
<td>Green mica and porphyry fragments up to 10 cm</td>
<td>Green mica and porphyry fragments up to 10 cm</td>
<td>Rounded porphyry fragments</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Metamorphic minerals</td>
<td>Stilpnomelane</td>
<td>Biotite, chlorite, and muscovite</td>
<td>Trace chlorite and biotite</td>
<td>Biotite, chlorite, and muscovite</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 1 (continued).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Subcategory</th>
<th>TIS-main camp</th>
<th>TIS-other</th>
<th>GIS</th>
<th>CIS</th>
<th>GIS</th>
<th>GIS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alteration</td>
<td>Vening, and silicification</td>
<td>White mica (sericite), calcium-carbonate, chlorite, and iron-carbonate</td>
<td>Quartz-sweats and quartz–calcite</td>
<td>Quartz-sweats and quartz–calcite</td>
<td>Quartz-sweats and quartz–calcite</td>
<td>Quartz-sweats and quartz–calcite</td>
<td>Quartz-sweats and quartz–calcite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mineralization</td>
<td>Gold mineralization</td>
<td>Gold mineralization</td>
<td>Gold mineralization</td>
<td>Anomalous gold</td>
<td>Anomalous copper</td>
<td>Anomalous copper</td>
<td>Anomalous copper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deformation</td>
<td>D2 foliation</td>
<td>D2 foliation, D3 spaced cleavage</td>
<td>D2 foliation, D3 spaced cleavage</td>
<td>D2 foliation</td>
<td>D2 foliation</td>
<td>D2 foliation</td>
<td>D2 foliation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geochronology</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petrography</td>
<td>Pervasive and widespread</td>
<td>Pervasive and widespread</td>
<td>Pervasive and widespread</td>
<td>Pervasive and widespread</td>
<td>Pervasive and widespread</td>
<td>Pervasive and widespread</td>
<td>Pervasive and widespread</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Altered rocks</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Table 2 | Geological relationships, petrography, metamorphism, alteration, deformation, veining, emplacement ages, and association to mineralization are summarized in Table 1. All of the intrusive suites consist of three or more intrusions generally trending east–west, with sills, dikes, and plug-like forms, with individual intrusions ranging from metre- to kilometre-scale (Figs. 1 and 2). The intrusions are generally sub-parallel to the volcanic stratigraphy at four discrete stratigraphic levels: (i) the upper units of the Deloro Assemblage, (ii) at the contact between the Deloro and Tisdale Assemblages, (iii) within the lower to middle units of the Tisdale Assemblage, and (iv) at the contact of the Tisdale and Porcupine Assemblages (Fig. 3). The intrusive suites are located near or at assemblage, formation, or flow contacts (Fig. 3) and have sharp, unchilled intrusive or fault contacts with wall rocks (Figs. 4A–4B). The Bristol Township and Mt. Logano porphyries of the TIS-other suite and the entire CIS are exceptions to the above and are large composite intrusive bodies instead of multiple small intrusions.

All of the intrusive suites are porphyritic, containing 5–60 vol %, subhedral and lesser anhedral phenocrysts of Na-rich plagioclase (oligoclase to albite) and lesser, variably strained quartz. Ligneous phenocrysts are 1–15 mm in diameter and are set in massive, fine- to very fine-grained matrices of plagioclase and quartz, along with trace amounts of muscovite, chlorite, orthoclase, biotite, apatite, actinolite, leucoxene, and zircon (Figs. 4C–4D). Some intrusions of the GIS suite are equigranular, containing interlocking crystals of Na-rich plagioclase, quartz, and muscovite and biotite (Fig. 4E). Centimetre-scale xenoliths of altered ultramafic to mafic rocks are present in most intrusions. Brecias found within some porphyries are locally tourmaline-rich, but these brecias are relatively rare (Fig. 4F).

The majority of intrusions display alteration on a decimetre- to metre-scale that is found within high strain zones (Fig. 5). Sericitic alteration of plagioclase crystals is the most common alteration with phenocrysts ranging from fresh to completely replaced by fine-grained sericite–muscovite (Figs. 4G–4H). In the immediate vicinity of the largest gold deposits (e.g., Hollinger–McIntyre and Dome mines), sericite alteration is most intense and is often coupled with pervasive calcium carbonate alteration (Figs. 4I and 5). Pervasive albite alteration is common along the same structures that host sericite alteration (i.e., Dome fault). Locally occurring with albite alteration is patchy iron-carbonate (ankerite), pervasive pink hematite, and strongly pervasive quartz alteration. Minor, pervasive chlorite and trace biotite alteration is also present within some intrusions. The sericite–muscovite and biotite in the intrusions increases in proximity to mineralization, suggesting the majority of the micas are hydrothermal in origin.

Regional metamorphism in the vicinity of the intrusions is lower to middle greenschist facies (Thompson 2002). Metamorphic assemblages within the intrusions include chlorite, muscovite, and biotite (after plagioclase phenocrysts and hydrothermal chlorite sericite).

All intrusions typically display two structural fabrics (Figs. 4I–4J), although the intensity of deformation varies between intrusions and intrusive suites. The two dominant structural fabrics are subparallel, generally east–west trending, near vertical, millimetre-spaced cleavages, with the former being crenulated by the latter (Figs. 4I–4J; D2 and D3) (Bateman et al. 2008). A younger, near vertical, foliation is also present in some intrusions (D6) (Bateman et al. 2008), as is a younger flat-lying foliation and conjugate sets of centimetre-sized kink folds (D7) (Bateman et al. 2008).

Multiple sets of veins cross-cut the different intrusive suites including quartz, quartz–tourmaline (Fig. 4K), quartz–ankerite, and quartz–calcite. Smaller stringer veinlets of tourmaline also occur within some of the intrusions.

The majority of TIS intrusions were emplaced between 2687 and 2691 Ma (Table 1) (Marmont and Corfu 1989; Corfu et al. 1989; MacDonald et al. 2005; Ayer et al. 2002, 2003b, 2005). Exceptions

---


---

Pamour = 2677.5 ± 1 Ma (4)

Carr Township, Naybob, Porphyry Hill granodiorite, and Bob’s Lake granodiorite.

Deformation Deformation D2 foliation, D3 spaced cleavage, D3 foliation

---

Preston = ca. 2690 Ma (1) Mt. Logano = 2689.0 ± 1.4 Ma (4)

Bristol Township, South Bristol Lake, northern Deloro Township dikeswarm, Aquarius, Homestead, Crowley, and Pominex

---

Pearl Lake = 2689 ± 1 Ma (1)

---

Porphyry intrusions included in study without geochronology

---

Note: TIS-main camp porphyries are excluded in Table 1 (Marmont and Corfu 1989; Corfu et al. 1989; MacDonald et al. 2005; Ayer et al. 2002, 2003b, 2005). Exceptions
include the Hoyle Pond (2684 ± 1.9 and 2687.2 ± 2.2 Ma) and Aquarius intrusions (2705 ± 10 Ma: Table 1) (Corfu et al. 1989; Bateman et al. 2005; Ayer et al. 2005). The GIS intrusions were emplaced at 2677.5 ± 2.0 Ma (Table 1) (Ayer et al. 2005; MacDonald et al. 2005). The CIS has no U–Pb zircon ages, but is hypothesized to be younger than the TIS, as they lack a D2 fabric and have geological similarities to circa 2685-Ma potassic, calc-alkaline plutons throughout the Abitibi (Corfu et al. 1989; Davis et al. 2000; Ayer et al. 2002). When compared with regional suites documented by Beakhouse (2011) throughout the Abitibi, the TIS intrusions are interpreted to be mostly related to the 2691–2685 Ma early syn-tectonic suite; the GIS and CIS intrusions are related to the 2686–2676 Ma syntectonic suite.

Gold and Cu mineralization in the porphyries is associated with sub-millimetre to centimetre scale sub-euhedral disseminated grains, grain clusters (Fig. 4L), and (or) veinlets of pyrite, pyrrhotite, chalcopyrite, and bornite, and are associated with hydrothermal alteration and quartz veining. Anomalous Au (>0.05 ppm) is associated with all of the intrusive suites. Intense sericite and calcite alteration of the intrusions occurs in the vicinity of large tonnage gold mineralization (Hollinger, McIntyre, and Dome deposits) (e.g., Fig. 5), and moderately intense ankerite-sericite alteration is...
Fig. 4 (concluded).

Fig. 5. Map showing structural control (Hollinger shear zone) of Hollinger–McIntyre gold mineralization and sericite ± calcium carbonate alteration (modified from Mason and Melnik 1986). [Colour online.]
the dominant alteration proximal to smaller deposits (Paymaster 2–3, Buffalo Ankerite and Aquarius). Anomalous Cu (>1000 ppm) is associated with hematite alteration in the larger intrusions (the Pearl Lake porphyry – TIS-main, the Bristol Township porphyry – TIS-other and the Carr Township porphyry – CIS).

**Geological characteristics of the KF**

The KF forms the base of the Porcupine Assemblage within the Kayorum and Porcupine synclines, lying unconformably above the Tisdale Assemblage (Figs. 2–3). Ranging up to 500 m thick, the intermediate to felsic metavolcaniclastic rocks of the KF are composed of poorly sorted and bedded lapilli- to breccia-sized fragments in a quartzo-felspathic crystal-tuff matrix (Figs. 4M–4N) (Bateman et al. 2008). The fragments are dominantly felsic with minor fragments of basalt, massive sulfide (pyrite and lesser chalcopyrite), and iron formation. The matrix is composed predominantly of plagioclase with lesser quartz and trace chlorite, orthoclase, pyrite, and zircon crystals. Pervasive, weak to moderate hydrothermal alteration is common, and typically includes sericite ± calcium carbonate and (or) albite alteration as well as with very weak, localized iron-carbonate, chlorite, quartz, and fuchsite alteration. Greenschist metamorphism of the KF is identified by the presence of minor muscovite and chlorite, presumably after hydrothermal sericite and chlorite. Deformation of the KF includes two subparallel, generally east–west trending, near vertical, millimetre-spaced cleavages with the earlier fabric crenulated by D3, along with a later stretching lineation observed in stretched fragments (DS; Fig. 4N) (Bateman et al. 2008). Veins are rare within the KF and are restricted to quartz veinlets. U–Pb zircon ages from the KF range from 2687 ± 1.6 Ma to 2687.5 ± 1.3 Ma (Ayer et al. 2002, 2003b, 2005). No mineralization is known to exist in the KF.

An indirect correlation between the KF and the Porcupine intrusive suites exists, even though there is no physical link between the two units. The KF is proximal (<1 km) to many of the TIS intrusions (Fig. 2), they share many petrographic similarities, and have similar U–Pb ages, which indicate the deposition of the KF and the emplacement of the TIS were coeval ~2688 Ma (Ayer et al. 2003b).

**Lithogeochemistry**

**Previous lithogeochemical studies**

Few comprehensive, publically available lithogeochemical data sets exist for the Porcupine intrusive suites. Davies and Luhta (1978), Mason and Melnik (1986), Wood et al. (1986), Burrows and Spooner (1986, 1989), Burrows et al. (1993), Brisbin (2000), and Gray and Hutchinson (2001) focused on gold mineralization, but few discussed the lithogeochemistry of the intermediate to felsic porphyry intrusions. Davies (1980) showed that K–Rb enrichment is associated with sericite alteration proximal to the porphyries. McAuley (1983) studied TIS intrusions near the Dome Mine and showed that they had calc-alkaline affinity and major element lithogeochemistry indicated that the Preston, Preston West, and Paymaster porphyries were genetically related. McAuley (1983) noted K–Rb enrichment coupled with Sr depletion in sericite-altered samples and Sr enrichment with Ca, Fe, and Mg depletions in albite-altered samples. Gorman et al. (1981) suggested that the alteration of the intrusions began as sodic (Na) and evolved into potassic (K) alteration, whereas McAuley (1983) noted that sericite alteration preceded or was coeval with regional deformation and that albite alteration postdated it. Despite mineralogical variations, Wells (2001) found that both quartz–feldspar and feldspar porphyries in the vicinity of the Dome mine were coeval and genetically related. More recently, Israr (2017) undertook a melt inclusion and lithogeochemical study on the Paymaster, Crown, and Pearl Lake porphyries and the Krist fragmental unit and showed similar geochemical signatures to MacDonald et al. (2005), but also illustrated that these rocks had Cu–Au-enriched melt inclusions.

**Sampling and analytical procedures**

Samples of the Porcupine intrusive and KF were collected from surface exposures and drill core in 2003 and 2004. A total of 116 samples from 28 intrusive bodies and 2 KF units were collected. Each individual sample was approximately 3–5 kg. Weathered surfaces were removed and all samples were then crushed using a steel jaw crusher. Pulverization was done in an agate mortar in 2003 at the Ontario Geoscience Laboratory (GeoLabs) in Sudbury, Ontario, and a mild (carbon) steel in 2004 at Activation Laboratories Limited (ActLabs) in Ancaster, Ontario. These powders were then analyzed utilizing wavelength-dispersive X-ray fluorescence spectrometry (XRF), inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-ES) and inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) at a combination of GeoLabs and ActLabs in 2003 and 2004. Major elements (SiO$_2$, TiO$_2$, Al$_2$O$_3$, Fe$_2$O$_3$T, MnO, MgO, CaO, Na$_2$O, K$_2$O, and P$_2$O$_5$) were determined on fused discs by XRF. Loss-on-ignition (LOI) was determined using conventional heating and weight difference methods. Some trace elements were determined by pressed pellet XRF analysis at the GeoLabs in 2003 (Nb, Zr, and Y) and ActLabs in 2004 (Ni, Cr, V, Nb, Zr, and Y). Other trace elements were determined by ICP-ES (Ba, Be, Cd, Co, Cr, Cu, Li, Mo, Ni, S, Sc, Sr, V, W, and Zn) and ICP-MS (Nb, Ta, Zr, Hf, Y, Cs, Th, U, La, Ce, Pr, Rb, Nd, Sm, Eu, Gd, Tb, Dy, Ho, Er, Tm, Yb, and Lu) at the GeoLabs in both 2003 and 2004 utilizing closed-beaker multi-acid digestion prior to analysis (Burnham et al. 2002; Burnham and Schweyer 2004). Analytical results are presented in Supplementary Table 1.

Completeness of digestion during ICP-ES and ICP-MS analysis was tested by comparing duplicate elements (Ni, Cr, V, Nb, Zr, and Y) via the solid-source XRF method versus those obtained by solution ICP-ES and ICP-MS. Values obtained by XRF are within 10% of values obtained by ICP-ES and ICP-MS, suggesting digestions were complete. As such, ICP-ES (Ni, Cr, V) and ICP-MS (Nb, Zr, and Y) trace element data are used in this paper for consistency in the data set and because of the superior detection limits and sensitivity, particularly for the rare earth elements (REE) and high field strength elements (HFSE).

Precision was calculated using the percent of relative standard deviation (%RSD) of replicate analysis of known and unknown reference materials as well as analytical duplicates. Precision for major elements (including LOI) obtained via fused disc XRF methods is ±5% RSD with the exception of MgO and P$_2$O$_5$ (±7% RSD and ±10% RSD, respectively) from 2003 GeoLabs’ analyses and K$_2$O (±10% RSD) from 2004 ActLabs’ analyses. Precision for trace elements obtained via pressed pellet XRF were <±10% RSD for both 2003 and 2004 analyses at GeoLabs and ActLabs. Precision for trace elements obtained via ICP-ES were generally <±12% RSD. For more detailed information regarding the precision of the data set presented within refer to Appendix A of MacDonald et al. (2005).

Accuracy was determined using the percent of relative difference (%RDF) of reference materials of known or accepted values. Accuracy for major elements (including LOI) obtained via fused disc XRF methods and trace elements obtained from pressed pellet XRF is generally <±10% RDF from both 2003 GeoLabs’ and 2004 ActLabs’ analyses. Accuracy for trace elements obtained via ICP-ES and ICP-MS were generally acceptable (<±10% RDF) with the excep-
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**Supplementary data** are available with the article through the journal Web site at http://nrcresearchpress.com/doi/suppl/10.1139/cjes-2018-0091.
tion of Cd, Mo, S, and W, which are not considered accurate. For more detailed information regarding the accuracy of the methods used see Appendix A in MacDonald et al. (2005).

**Alteration geochemistry and tests for immobility of immobile elements**

Every attempt was made to minimize the effects of hydrothermal alteration in the data set, but most units studied are variably altered. Most of the samples exhibit variability in the major elements forming an array on an alteration box plot (Large et al. 2001) in Fig. 6A. While this diagram was constructed for volcanicogenic massive sulphide deposits, the data arrays and indexes reflect alteration minerals present in a rock, which are in many cases independent of deposit type (e.g., Ahmed et al. 2019); thus, it is appropriate for illustrating alteration mineral distributions in the samples presented herein. On this plot, the samples form an array from the albite portion of the diagram towards the chlorite–pyrite node (Fig. 6A). Notably, these variations are greatest in the TIS with samples from the CIS and GIS lying primarily within the least altered rhyolite box (Fig. 6A). The samples from the TIS-main camp lie closer to the pyrite–chlorite node of the diagram, whereas the TIS-other are closer to the albite node, consistent with those near the Timmins area being more altered (Fig. 6A). The alkali mobility is also shown in Al2O3/Na2O–Na2O space, where many samples, particularly those of the TIS-main camp, have high Al2O3/Na2O values (>10) and low Na2O contents, whereas others have elevated Na2O contents (>5%), particularly the TIS-other and some of the GIS, and only a few samples have least-altered compositions (Fig. 6B). The data form an array in K2O–Na2O space consistent with variable Na- and K-alteration (Fig. 6C).

To monitor carbonate alteration, (CaO + MgO + Fe2O3)/Al2O3 is plotted against LOI (Fig. 6D). The (CaO + MgO + Fe2O3)/Al2O3 is chosen as this ratio increases with increasing carbonate (and Fe sulfide) alteration as Ca–Mg–Fe will be enriched in carbonates at the expense of Al–O species, which will be enriched in clay alteration over carbonate alteration; LOI is chosen as this is a measure of hydration (i.e., clays), carbonate, and sulfide addition (Fig. 6D). This diagram has two key trends. The first trend is a positive relationship between (CaO + MgO + Fe2O3)/Al2O3 and LOI, consistent with increased carbonate alteration. This trend is particularly pronounced for the TIS-main samples and a subset of the TIS-other, whereas the CIS and GIS samples have low (CaO + MgO + Fe2O3)/Al2O3 values (Fig. 6D). The second trend is that TIS-other samples cluster close to LOI = 10, as does a subset of GIS; most of these samples have low (CaO + MgO + Fe2O3)/Al2O3 values (Fig. 6D), which is consistent with albite and white mica alteration with minimal carbonate addition.

It is notable that the light field strength elements (LFSE) also have been affected by alteration (Figs. 6E–6F), with Rb (and Cs, not shown) having a positive correlation with K2O content, consistent with enrichment due to potassic metasomatism (Fig. 6E). Although less systematic, there is also a broad association of high Sr contents with Sr2+ substitution for Ca2+ in the carbonatite mineral, the likely mechanism (Fig. 6F). The exception to this, however, is a subset of the GIS, which has high Sr and low LOI contents, suggesting that the high Sr in the GIS is a primary signature in these samples (Fig. 6F), likely due to the presence of unaltered feldspars.

The above data clearly point to alkali mobility and mobility of carbonate-associated elements in the Porcupine intrusive suites. It is uncertain, however, whether or not the typically immobile elements have remained immobile, in particular the HFSE, REE, Al2O3, and TiO2. This is particularly critical as these elements can be mobilized during intense alteration (e.g., Campbell et al. 1984; Murphy and Hynes 1986; Migdisov et al. 2016), which affected some samples in the data set. Furthermore, these data are utilized extensively to understand the primary petrology of ancient rock suites; therefore, establishing their mobility or immobility is critical. To test for immobility of these elements select, certain intensely altered samples were compared with analyses of a coeval least altered intrusion. The least altered samples from different Porcupine intrusive suites were chosen based on field (i.e., fresh), petrographic (i.e., preserved primarily mineralogy), and mobile element systematics (i.e., undisturbed alkalis, low LOI, alteration index, and metal values). Three typical examples of alteration types affecting TIS samples were chosen to represent the range of alteration present in the data set, namely potassic alteration (sericite-K-feldspar-biotite) with chloropyrite and pyrite, albite alteration, and carbonate alteration (Figs. 7A–7C). One sample was chosen from both CIS and GIS to represent albite alteration and one weakly altered sample was chosen from the KF (Figs. 7D–7F). These samples were then compared with the least altered samples of their respective intrusive suites, with the exception of the KF sample, which was compared with the least altered TIS sample, using the modified isocon method (Grant 1986) of Huston (1993).

While there are a number of suites in the Porcupine intrusive suite, many have similar trends in “immobile” element diagrams (e.g., Fig. 8); thus, they can be treated as coming from a limited number of single precursors. Correspondingly, the single precursor isocon method is sufficient to illustrate the mobility–immobility of elements in this study.

Results of the isocon analysis are shown in Fig. 7. On these diagrams elements that have been immobile form a line of equal concentration, the isocon, whereas elements that lie off of this line have either been gained (above the line) or lost (below the line) during the alteration process (Grant 1986). With the exception of carbonate alteration, the linearity of the HFSE, REE, and Al2O3 suggests that these elements have been relatively immobile during alteration (Fig. 7). Furthermore, these elements show linear trends on binary plots of immobile elements, with minor exceptions (Fig. 8) (e.g., Barrett and MacLean 1994; Barrett and MacLean 1999), and coherent trends on immobile element-based trace element plots (Figs. 9–11), suggesting they were immobile. The isocons in most samples are best defined by the HFSE (Zr, Hf, Ta, Nb, Th), and Al2O3, with the results illustrating most major elements and LFSE have significant gains and losses associated with the various alteration types (Fig. 7). The transition elements have variable behavior with the base metals Cu, Zn, and Pb exhibiting variable mobility, whereas others like V, Sc, and Ni appear to have been immobile (Fig. 7). The carbonate-altered samples also exhibit linear trends with the HFSE and Al2O3, but there are enrichments in heavy REE (Figs. 7C and 7F), and one sample exhibits TiO2 enrichment (Fig. 7F), suggesting potential minor mobility of these elements in carbonate-altered samples (Figs. 7C and 7F). That being stated, however, the enrichment is minor, and inter-REE ratios are largely unaffected (Fig. 7).

Taken together these results suggest that the HFSE, REE, Al2O3, and, to a lesser extent, TiO2 have been immobile during alteration and these elements can be utilized to understand the primary igneous compositions of the Porcupine intrusive suites.

**Immobile element lithogeochemistry of the Porcupine Intrusive Suites and KF**

**TIS**

The TIS suite has subalkalic to weakly alkaline affinities with Nb/Y > 7 and dacitic to rhyolitic Zr/TiO2 ratios (Figs. 8 and 9). The samples have Zr/Y > 4.5, Zr/TiNb > 30, and Th/Yb > 0.85, consistent with them having calc-alkalic affinities (Figs. 8 and 9) (Barrett and MacLean 1994; Barrett and MacLean 1999; Ross and Bedard 2009). Most samples have high Al2O3 and relatively low Yb contents, consistent with high-Al tonalite–trondhjemite–granodiorite (TTG) affinities (Fig. 9C), coupled with very high La/Yb values that are...
Fig. 6. Mobile element plots for the Porcupine intrusive suites and the Krist Formation, including: (A) alteration boxplot (from Large et al. 2001) with Hashimoto alteration index \(\frac{(MgO + K_2O)/(MgO + K_2O + Na_2O + CaO)}{Na_2O + Fe_2O_3T + Na_2O + CaO}\) (Saeki and Date 1980; Date et al. 1983) plotted against chlorite-carbonate-pyrite index \(\frac{(MgO + Fe_2O_3T)/(MgO + Fe_2O_3T + Na_2O + CaO)}{Na_2O + Fe_2O_3T + Na_2O + CaO}\); (B) Na$_2$O versus Al$_2$O$_3$/Na$_2$O plot with designations for least altered samples (modified from Spitz and Darling 1978); (C) Na$_2$O versus K$_2$O plot; (D) LOI versus (CaO + MgO + Fe$_2$O$_3$/Al$_2$O$_3$) plot; (E) K$_2$O versus Rb plot; and (F) LOI versus Sr plot. All values are in weight percent. Alteration indexes are ratios of major elements in weight percent form. TIS, Timmins porphyry intrusive suite (main camp and other); CIS, Carr Township porphyry intrusive suite; GIS, granodiorite intrusive suite; and KF, Krist Formation. [Colour online.]
Fig. 7. Isocon plots (Grant 1986) of least altered porphyry sample against various “type” alteration associated with the porphyry intrusive rocks and volcanic rocks. Notably, in all cases the high field strength elements (HFSE) and Al₂O₃ are immobile, therefore illustrating that they are seeing through hydrothermal alteration. A similar case exists for the rare earth elements (REE) and TiO₂, except for minor mobility in the intensely carbonate altered samples. Nevertheless, even in the carbonate alteration there are constant inter-REE ratios, suggesting that they still can be used for primary petrological–chemostratigraphic information. Isocon scaling factors were obtained using the modified isocon method of Huston (1993) and are unique for each sample. LFSE, low field strength elements; TIS, Timmins porphyry intrusive suite (main camp and other); CIS, Carr Township porphyry intrusive suite; GIS, granodiorite intrusive suite; and KF, Krist Formation. [Colour online.]

similar to other Archean TTG suites and have adakite-like affinities (Fig. 9D). Primitive mantle-normalized multi-element plots for the TIS are characterized by steep patterns with light REE (LREE)-enrichment and heavy-REE (HREE) depletion coupled with negative Nb and Ti anomalies and positive Zr, Hf, and Al anomalies (Figs. 10A–10B). This suite also has M-type Nb-Y ratios and Sc/Y = 0.5–1.0 that are consistent with generation at depths in the garnet–hornblende stability field (Fig. 11).
CIS

The CIS has subalkalic affinities with Nb/Y<0.7, andesitic to dacitic Zr/TiO₂, and calc-alkalic affinities with Zr/Nb=30–50, and Th/Yb=0.8 (Figs. 8 and 9) (e.g., Barrett and MacLean 1994; Barrett and MacLean 1999). The CIS has high-Al TTG affinities like the TIS, but it has lower La/Yb ratios and higher Yb concentrations (Fig. 9). The lower La/Yb is echoed in the primitive mantle normalized plots in which the CIS has similar patterns to the TIS, but with lesser LREE-enrichment and HREE depletion; they have similar negative anomalies at Nb, Ti, and low V-Sc and positive Zr–Hf–Al anomalies (Fig. 10). The CIS has M-type affinities with low Nb and Y contents, and Sc/Y ~0.3–0.7, consistent with hornblende being present in the residue during crustal partial melting (Fig. 11).

GIS

The GIS is somewhat different than the other suites in that the entire population has alkalic affinities with Nb/Y>0.7 and very high Zr/Y, Zr/Nb, Th/Yb, and La/Yb relative to the TIS and CIS (Figs. 8–9). The Zr/Y and Th/Yb affinities of the GIS are consistent with a calc-alkalic affinity and their relatively low Yb but variable Al₂O₃ contents give them low to high-Al TTG affinities (Fig. 9). The GIS have very high La/Yb (Fig. 9D) and extremely steep primitive mantle normalized patterns with significant depletions in HREE, enrichments in LREE, and negative Nb and Ti anomalies (Fig. 10D).

Like the TIS and the CIS, the GIS has M-type Nb–Y systematics and Sc/Y ~0.5 consistent with garnet–hornblende in the residue during crustal partial melting (Fig. 11).

KF

The KF is subalkaline and has calc-alkalic to alkallic signatures (Figs. 8–9) that lie close to the low-Al TTG-high-Al TTG boundary with adakite-like La/Yb–Yb systematics (Fig. 9). Their primitive mantle normalized signatures are similar to the TIS and CIS, with LREE enrichment, HREE depletion, and variably developed negative Nb–Ti and positive Zr–Hf–Al anomalies (Fig. 10E). The KF has M-type affinities and Sc/Y ~0.3–1 consistent with garnet–hornblende in the residues during partial melting (Fig. 11).

Discussion

Petrogenesis of the Porcupine intrusive suites

All of the Porcupine intrusive suites have broadly similar geochemical signatures with small, yet significant, differences that indicate potentially distinct petrogenetic histories. The TIS, GIS, and KF, have high-Al TTG lithochemical affinities with adakite-like signatures of HREE depletion (Figs. 8–11). Two main models have been proposed to explain the genesis of TTG suites in modern and ancient settings: (i) slab-melting of subducted oceanic crust and (or) (ii) the delamination and hydrous melting of...
Fig. 9. Classification plots for adakite-like rocks for the Porcupine intrusive suites and the Krist Formation, including: (A) Nb/Y versus Zr/TiO$_2$ discrimination diagram of Winchester and Floyd (1977; modified by Pearce 1996); (B) Y versus Zr diagram from Ross and Bedard (2009); (C) Al$_2$O$_3$ versus Yb diagram of Arth (1979) classifying different types of tonalite–trondhjemite–granodiorite (TTG); (D) Yb versus La/Yb (modified from Castillo et al. 1999). Adakite field from Defant and Drummond (1990). All values are given in parts per million, except for Al$_2$O$_3$ and TiO$_2$, which are given in weight percent. TIS, Timmins porphyry intrusive suite (main camp and other); CIS, Carr Township porphyry intrusive suite; GIS, granodiorite intrusive suite; and KF, Krist Formation. [Colour online.]
coupled with extensional activity, permitted emplacement of the CIS into upper crustal levels.

The GIS has steeper REE patterns and more fractionated HREE compared with the TIS and CIS consistent with derivation at greater depths and pressures than the TIS and CIS where there was likely more garnet in the melt residue (e.g., Rapp et al. 1991; Feng and Kerrich 1992; Wyllie et al. 1997; Rapp 1997; Moyen and Stevens 2006; Piercey et al. 2008). This suite of magmas formed via partial melting of a mafic source, similar to the TIS and CIS, but it was during crustal thickening during or after D2 thrust faulting (Fig. 12) (i.e., syntectonic; Bateman et al. 2008; Beakhouse 2011).

In summary, the Porcupine intrusive suites are predominantly related to lower crustal melting of mafic crust at varying pressures where there were varying abundances of garnet and hornblende.
in the melt residue (e.g., Wylie et al. 1997). Although this could be related to different source rocks, the spatial proximity of the different suites and established architecture of the Timmins area (Ayer et al. 2002; Bateman et al. 2008; Thurston et al. 2008; Bleeker 2015; Dubé et al. 2017), suggests that their petrogenetic differences were likely related to depth of partial melting rather than differences in source rock. Furthermore, based on REE–HFSE systematics, it is interpreted that the CIS was derived at the shallowest crustal levels, whereas TIS and GIS were formed at greater depths, respectively. The Porcupine intrusive suites also span the entire early deformational history of the Timmins–Porcupine gold camp with the TIS forming coeval with D1 (early syntectonic) and the CIS and GIS forming post-D2/pre-D3 (syntectonic to late syntectonic) (Fig. 12).

**Relationship of KF volcanism to the emplacement of the Porcupine intrusive suites**

The KF has geographic distribution, petrographic characteristics, and U–Pb ages that are very similar to the TIS (Ayer et al. 2003b), as well as similar lithogeochemical signatures. These similarities suggest that the TIS and KF are coeval and potentially share a similar petrogenetic history. While there are no direct physical linkages between the TIS and KF, there is field evidence that suggests that despite the melts for the TIS being generated at depth, the TIS was emplaced at shallow crustal levels in a subvolcanic environment (<1 km from surface?). For example, the very fine-grained matrix of the TIS implies quick cooling as subvolcanic intrusions in the near surface (e.g., Mason and Melnik 1986). Shallow emplacement of the TIS is further supported by flow-banded breccias in the Paymaster porphyry near the Dome mine, which suggest that it may have been emplaced as subvolcanic cryptodomes (Pyke 1982; Cas et al. 1990). These data suggest that the TIS intrusions are most likely the subvolcanic roots of the extrusive KF.

**Discriminating gold-bearing and gold-barren intrusions in the Timmins–Porcupine gold camp**

All Porcupine intrusive suites display a spatial association with gold mineralization; however, there are systematic lithogeochemical differences and specific suites associated with the largest gold deposits. For example, the largest gold deposits in the Timmins–Porcupine gold camp (i.e., >10 MOz of Au produced), including the Hollinger, Mclntyre, and Dome mines, are spatially associated with the TIS (Brisbin 2000). Similarly, moderately-sized gold deposits (production or indicated Au reserves ≥1 MOz), including the Coniaurum, Preston, Paymaster, Buffalo Ankerite, Hoyle Pond, and Aquarius mines, and small gold deposits (production or indicated Au reserves <1 million ounces), including the Vipond, Moneta, Crown, Gillies Lake, and Fuller (Vedron) mines, are also associated with TIS (Brisbin 2000) (e.g., Fig. 2). The exception includes the small Naybob mine (50 733 ounces of Au produced), which is associated with the GIS (Brisbin 2000). The only intrusions in the TIS not spatially associated with gold are located south of the PDDZ. Furthermore, the GIS (excluding the aforementioned Naybob porphyry) and CIS are not associated with significant gold accumulations. This suggests that TIS intrusions north of the PDDZ are the most prospective suite of the Porcupine intrusive rocks (Fig. 1).

Alteration geochemistry is also useful in distinguishing potentially gold mineralized versus barren intrusions. Samples associated with the large gold deposits preferentially plot to the right side of the alteration box plot (Fig. 6A), have evidence K-metasomatism, show strong Na2O and Sr depletions, and have elevated Al2O3/Na2O and Sr contents, and have experienced Na-metasomatism and carbonate alteration only (Fig. 6). Furthermore, intrusions associated with small gold deposits and barren intrusions preferentially plot in the least altered field with some samples exhibiting weak Na-alteration (Figs. 6A–6C). Similarly, alteration mineralogy correlates with alteration geochemical signatures. Large deposits have intrusions with significant sulfide alteration (pyrite ± pyrrhotite ± chalcopyrite) and sericite-calcite carbonate alteration (Table 1). Moderate sized deposits have weak to moderate albite + Fe-carbonate alteration (Table 1). Small gold occurrences are associated with associated intrusions that are unaltered to weakly albited altered (Table 1).

Alteration geochemistry, in combination with petrography, suggests that large gold deposits (>10 million ounces) in the Timmins–Porcupine gold camp are associated with structurally controlled intense K-metasomatism and associated sericite alteration. These large deposits are surrounded by moderate-sized gold deposits (>1 million ounces), often hosted within the same structures (e.g., Hollinger shear zone and Dome fault), but associated with weaker K-metasomatism–sericite alteration and increased Na-metasomatism–albite + Fe-carbonate alteration. Small gold deposits (<1 million ounces) are also present along the same structures that host large deposits, but are associated with intrusions that display weak Na-metasomatism–albite alteration.
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**Fig. 11.** Discrimination plots for the Porcupine intrusive suites and the Krist Formation, including: (A) Y versus Nb diagram from Pearce et al. (1984) and (B) Y versus Sc plot from Feng and Kerrich (1992). All values are given in parts per million. TIS, Timmins porphyry intrusive suite (main camp and other); CIS, Carr Township porphyry intrusive suite; GIS, granodiorite intrusive suite; and KF, Krist Formation. [Colour online.]
Deciphering the relationship between the Porcupine intrusive suites and gold mineralization

The relationship of gold mineralization to porphyries has been an important question within the Timmins–Porcupine gold camp as numerous deposits occur proximal to Porcupine intrusive suite rocks (see Fig. 2). Some previous workers have suggested a genetic link between the Porcupine intrusive suite magmatic–hydrothermal processes and gold mineralization (e.g., Davies and Luhta 1978; Mason 1986a, 1986b; Mason and Melnik 1986; Brisbin 2000; Gray and Hutchinson 2001), whereas others have suggested that there is a structural relationship (e.g., Burrows et al. 1993; Dubé et al. 2017).

The results of this study, and other studies (e.g., Burrows et al. 1993), indicate that there is no significant evidence for a magmatic–hydrothermal association between the porphyry intrusions and gold mineralization. Numerous arguments support this assertion. First, much of the gold mined within the Timmins–Porcupine gold camp does not occur in the intrusions but along their margins in Fe-rich mafic volcanic wall rocks (e.g., Melnik-Proud 1992; Mason 1986a, 1986b; Mason and Melnik 1986; Brisbin 2000; Gray and Hutchinson 2001). In the cases where gold is present and mined within the intrusions, the majority of veins originate and are centered in volcanic rocks peripheral to the intrusions within favorable structural traps (Burrows et al. 1993; Dubé et al. 2017). Second, most of the ore veins mined in the camp crosscut a younger intrusive suite (albitite dikes), which themselves crosscut the Porcupine intrusive suite suggesting a temporal gap between Porcupine intrusive suite magmatism and gold mineralization (Mason 1986a; Mason and Melnik 1986; Brisbin 2000). Third, Re-Os dating of molybdenite from both the McIntyre and Dome mines yielded ages of 2670–2672 Ma (Bateman et al. 2004; Ayer et al. 2003b, 2005), which are at least 15 million years younger than the emplacement of the Porcupine intrusive suites (2677–2691 Ma) (Corfu et al. 1989; Gray and Hutchinson 2001; Ayer et al. 2002, 2003b; MacDonald et al. 2005). Finally, arguments presented within illustrate the TIS intrusions are pre- to syn-D2, whereas gold mineralization has been shown to be associated with a regional D3 shortening episode (i.e., younger than the porphyries of this study), implying the porphyries were present prior to Au-emplacement during regional D3 deformation (Dubé et al. 2017).

While no genetic magmatic–hydrothermal relationship exists between gold mineralization and the Porcupine intrusive suites, there is a strong spatial association between porphyries and gold mineralization. Two models have been proposed to explain this relationship. The first suggests that Porcupine intrusive suite and subsequent gold systems utilized the same, protracted and (or) reactivated structural conduits for their emplacement (Brisbin 2000). This is supported by the spatial association of both intrusions and major gold deposits along the same structures, including the Hollinger high strain zone, the Dome fault, and the PDDZ (Fig. 1) (Brisbin 2000). In this model the regional deformation zones that facilitated porphyry intrusion emplacement were reactivated during the main gold-forming events (Ayer et al. 2003b; Corfu et al. 1989; Bateman et al. 2004; Bleeker 2015).

Alternatively, and potentially coincidental, the competency contrast between competent Porcupine intrusive suite and less competent mafic volcanic country rocks could have acted as a locus for deformation (Gorman et al. 1981; Burrows et al. 1993; Burrows and Spooner 1989; Wood et al. 1986; Dubé et al. 2017). The intrusions may have acted like “pillars” (Wood et al. 1986) within the mafic volcanic rocks and deflected deformation resulting in high strain and dilational zones around the margins of the intrusions into which the Au-bearing fluids migrated (Burrows et al. 1993; Burrows and Spooner 1989; Wood et al. 1986). The reaction of the Au-bearing fluid with Fe-rich Tisdale Assemblage volcanic rocks along porphyry-Tisdale Assemblage contact dilation zones likely resulted in the destabilization of Au thiocomplexes, due to wall rock sulphidation, resulting in Au to be precipitated from solution (e.g., Seward 1973; Ropchan et al. 2002; Dinel et al. 2008; Dubé et al. 2017). Key support for this hypothesis is that the majority of the gold was mined outside of the intrusions along the margins of the intrusive bodies within associated marginal high strain zones and volcanic rocks of the Tisdale Assemblage (e.g., Hollinger–McIntyre and Dome) (Mason and Melnik, 1986; Mason 1986a; Burrows et al. 1993; Brisbin 2000; Gray and Hutchinson 2001; Bateman et al. 2008).

Given the above relationships we suggest a hybrid model where porphyry intrusions were emplaced along regional faults, and during subsequent regional deformation, the location and competency contrasts between porphyry intrusions and their wall
rocks caused the reactivation of these structures creating dilational zones surrounding the porphyries that were favorable for ore fluid migration and gold precipitation. It is also notable that larger gold deposits within the Timmins–Porcupine camp (e.g., Hollinger, McIntyre, and Dome mines) are located where there are multiple, coalesced intrusions (e.g., Preston and Paymaster porphyries at Dome), and intrusion coalescence likely caused larger dilation zones during deformation, better structural traps, and increased the potential to form larger gold deposits. This coalescing of intrusive rocks near major structures may be a potential tool for further exploration in both the Timmins–Porcupine camp and other similar gold camps globally.

Conclusions

There are at least three distinct petrogenetic suites of porphyry intrusions along the PDDZ in the Timmins–Porcupine gold camp. The majority of the intrusions are related to magma generated via crustal thickening at ca. 2690 Ma during D1 deformation. Despite formation at depth with garnet and hornblende in the residue, the TIS magmas were emplaced at shallow depths and represent subvolcanic intrusions to the eruptive pyroclastic rocks of the KF. Approximately 10–15 million years after the TIS-KF magmatic event, two other magma suites were generated from melting of mafic lower crustal rocks. The CIS was generated by crustal melting at shallower crustal depths than the TIS (i.e., hornblende in the residue), whereas the GIS was generated at greater depths (i.e., garnet–hornblende in the residue) than the TIS. The temporal gap between the TIS and GIS, the interpreted progressive depth of melting, and that the two intrusive suites have intruded within the immediate vicinity of one another, suggests that D2 thrust stacking in the Timmins area significantly thickened the crust between 2690–2678 Ma causing deeper magma generation.

Gold mineralization is associated with all of the Porcupine intrusive suites. However, large gold deposits (>10 million ounces) are only related to the TIS intrusions that have strong pyrite and potassic alteration. Moderate-sized gold deposits (>1 million ounces) are also predominantly associated with the TIS, but have weak to moderate sodic and carbonate alteration. Small gold deposits (<1 million ounces) and anomalous gold showings are associated with only weak sodic alteration and are predominantly proximal to TIS and to a lesser extent the GIS intrusions. Barren intrusions include the TIS intrusions south of the PDDZ and the CIS and GIS intrusions east of the Burrows–Benedict Fault.

Porphyries that lie along a similar structure in the Timmins region can be associated with large gold systems that contain cores of strong potassic alteration and are often spatially proximal to smaller gold deposits where the porphyries contain weak sodic alteration. This alteration pattern is similar to those developed around Phanerozoic porphyries; however, regional and local geological arguments and geochronology argue that gold mineralization does not have a magmatic–hydrothermal relationship with the Porcupine intrusive suites. Instead, the spatial association is related to the Porcupine intrusive suites and Au-mineralizing fluids utilizing the same structural conduits. It is suggested that reactivation of regional faults and the creation of hydrodynamically favourable dilation zones along the margins of intrusions and their contacts with Fe-rich rocks of the Tisdale Assemblage allowed Au-bearing fluids to migrate peripheral to the intrusions, undergo wall rock sulphidation, and form gold mineralization. This coincidence of structural and chemical traps along porphyry margins was critical in forming the world-class mineralization found in the Timmins–Porcupine gold camp.
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