Comparison of integral equation and physical scale modelling of the electromagnetic response of models with large conductivity contrasts

Colin G. Farquharson¹, Ken Duckworth² & Douglas W. Oldenburg¹

¹ UBC – Geophysical Inversion Facility, Department of Earth & Ocean Sciences, University of British Columbia.

² Department of Geology & Geophysics, University of Calgary.

Outline

- Introduction: a brief history of EM numerical modelling in geophysics.
- Another integral equation modelling program.
- Comparison with physical scale modelling results.

Introduction: a brief history

• The classic scenario, e.g., Heath Steele Stratmat, NB:



Introduction: a brief history

Two main approaches to numerical modelling:
 o integral equation;



 \circ finite-difference/finite-element.



Introduction: a brief history

- Progression:
 - \circ early interest in integral equation methods;
 - present interest in finite-difference & finite-element methods.
- \star But implementations of integral equation methods failed for large contrasts.

For example ...





• Maxwell's equations:

 $\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = i\omega\mu \mathbf{H}, \quad \nabla \times \mathbf{H} = \sigma \mathbf{E} + \mathbf{J}^{S};$

and conservation of charge:

$$\nabla \cdot (\sigma \mathbf{E}) = -\nabla \cdot \mathbf{J}^S.$$

• Differential equation for electric field:

$$-
abla^2 \mathbf{E} \;+\;
abla ig(
abla \cdot \mathbf{E} ig) \;-\; i \omega \mu \sigma \, \mathbf{E} \;=\; i \omega \mu \, \mathbf{J}^S.$$

• Divide into background and anomalous:

$$\sigma = \sigma_b + \Delta \sigma, \qquad \mathbf{E} = \mathbf{E}_b + \mathbf{E}_s;$$

• such that

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{E}_b = i\omega\mu \mathbf{H}_b, \qquad \nabla \times \mathbf{H}_b = \sigma_b \mathbf{E}_b + \mathbf{J}^S;$$

and
$$\nabla \mathbf{H}_b = \nabla_b \mathbf{E}_b + \mathbf{J}^S;$$

$$\nabla \cdot \left(\sigma_b \, \mathbf{E}_b \right) \; = \; - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{J}^S.$$

• Hence, differential equation for secondary electric field:

$$-\nabla^2 \mathbf{E}_s + \nabla (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}_s) - i\omega\mu\sigma_b \mathbf{E}_s = i\omega\mu\Delta\sigma \mathbf{E}.$$

• Solution via Green's functions and integration over anomalous region:

$$\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{E}_b + i\omega\mu \int_{V_a} \underline{\mathbf{G}}^{(1)} \cdot \mathbf{E} \,\Delta\sigma \,dv + \int_{V_a} \mathbf{G}^{(2)} \,\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} \,dv.$$

• The Green's functions:

$$\underline{\mathbf{G}}^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} g^w & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & g^w & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & g^w \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \mathbf{G}^{(2)} = \nabla g^w,$$

$$g^w(\mathbf{r};\mathbf{r}') = \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{\exp(ik_b|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'|)}{|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'|}, \qquad k_b^2 = i\omega\mu\sigma_b.$$

- Traditional implementation:
 - \circ pulse basis functions,
 - \circ approximation of both integrals by integrations over equivalent spheres.
- New approach:
 - edge element basis functions,
 - volume & surface integrations kept separate,
 - Gaussian quadrature evaluation of integrals,
 - contrast (or lack thereof) between neighbouring cells kept explicit.



















quadrature



